UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/601,866 | 10/601,866 06/23/2003 Anand G. Dabak | | T1-28441A | 7204 |
| | 7590 02/27/200 LUMENTS INCORPO | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 6554 | 74, M/S 3999 | CORRIELUS, JEAN B | | |
| DALLAS, TX 75265 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2611 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/27/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/601,866 | DABAK ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Jean B. Corrielus | 2611 | |

| 201010 tho thing of all hopotal 2110. | Examiner | ALL OHIL | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | Jean B. Corrielus | 2611 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>2/11/09</u> and <u>2/16/09</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH | IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of appeal. Since a |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | will <u>not</u> be entered be 「E below); | cau s e |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation of the control of the contro | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | maliant Amandmant (| OTOL 204) |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mpiiant Amendment (i | -10L-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 26,28-36 and 38-45. | | l be entered and an e: | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Jean B Corrielus/ | | |
| | Primary Examiner | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: the claims as amended would require further consideration.